



CHECK LIST FOR REVIEW OF DELIVERABLES¹

Deliverable title	A Handbook for Civil Sector Training about Natural Disasters – UPKM, TCASU
Work package	WP3.3 Development of trainings' content corresponding educational materials and selection of teaching staff
Author	Kurt Glock (BOKU)
Date	01.03.2018

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

¹ This questionnaire concerns quality issues of NatRisk upon completion of each deliverable. Reviewer appointed by QAC completes the form and submits it by email to WP leader, Task leader and Project Coordinator (natriskuni@gmail.com). The achieved results will be useful for the project's risk management. They will also make part of the QAC final report, as well as of the Coordinator's progress and final reporting to EACEA.





Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
Committee on suith				
Compliance with NatRisk objectives	Does the deliverable comply	Xes		
Ivatitisk objectives	with the overall	□ No		
	objectives of the	Partially		
	project?			
Compliance with the	Does the			
specfic objectives of	deliverable comply	∑ Yes		
the WP	with the WP	☐ No		
	Objectives as	Partially		
	specified in the WP			
	description?			
Correspondence	Does the	X Yes		
with the description	deliverable			
of work of the	correspond with	∐ No		
relevant activity	the activity	Partially		
	description as			
	specified in the			
Commission on suith	Application Form? Is the deliverable		A different format	
Compliance with the deliverables		Xes	is used, but it	
format	presented using the Project's	☐ No	seems to be	
Tomat	deliverable format	Partially	adequat for this	
	- Annex A?		kind of report.	
Adequacy of	Examples of		Rina of Tepota	
complementary	complementary	Yes		
information	info:	☐ No		
	- Sources used,	Partially		
	- Bibliography,	,		
	- List of contacts,			
	- Methodology used.			
Adequacy of	Level of written	X Excellent		
written language	English	Adequate		
		_ •		
0 11		Poor		
Overall assessment				
and suggestions for				
improvement				
Deadline for submiss	ion of amended vers	sion of the deliv	erable	